MELBOURNE: The Attorney-General of the Commonwealth, Sir Garfield Barwick, in a reply to representations from the Jewish Council to Combat Fascism and Antisemitism, has written that he considers his statement in the House was sufficiently explicit to require no supplementary statement on his behalf.

The statement of the Attorney-General to which the tion for adopting the report, Council's representations referred was made in the House of Representatives on March 22 1961, in connection with a survivor Russian application for the extradition of a migrant for trial as a war criminal,

Details of the Jewish Council's letter and of Sir Garfield's reply, both of which appear elsewhere, were disclosed at last week's 19th Annual General Meeting of the Jewish Council, when the Council's President (Mr. S. H. Cohen) described Sir Garfield's reply as unsatisfactory.

Presenting his annual recurrent Eichmann trial in Israel as the highlight of the came under the Council's purview.

Adverting to current newshimself Chancellor Adenauer's righthand man, Dr. Globke, Mr. Cohen reminded his audience cised similar allegations a-gainst Globke for at least two years.

ciple for expedience, although tive Members: Messrs. M. it thus sometimes consciously incurred unpopularity.

"We still welcome and wish unity with the Victorian Jewish Board of Deputies and other organizations. We are not interested in disunity but continue our desire to play a wider part in the Jewish community."

of the Council's Committee, seconding the moreferred briefly to recent correspondence from a Jewish survivor in Germany alleging two war criminals.

Mr. Ravech said the letter had been written after the writer despaired of stirring Australian authorities directly into action on his allegations, which included detailed descriptions of horror crimes as well as the names of the two accused and the actual Australian address of one.

Mr. Cohen, in the course of his remarks, emphasized the port, Mr. Cohen described the need not to overlook the "little Eichmanns", and also referred briefly to a strong year in those spheres which protest the Council had sent to the Soviet Ambassador in Australia against the publication in the "Daghestan Times" of paper headlines wherein Eich- a vicious "blood libel", allegimplicated ing that Jews used the blood of children for ritual purposes.

Annual elections resulted in the uncontested return of that the Council had publi- all retiring office bearers, viz. President: Mr. S. H. Cohen, Vice-Presidents: Messrs. S. Goldbloom and N. Stabey: Hon. Treasurer: Mr. T. Trewas a unique organization— vaks; Assistant Hon. Treas-one that never sacrificed prin-ciple for expedience of the March o

> During the second half of the proceedings, all of the more than 40 members present were enchanted by the guest speaker, Mr. Eric Westbrook, Director of the National Gallery of Victoria.

Mr. Westbrook, whose topic

"Cuture as a factor to-Mr. M. Ravech, Chairman wards better understanding of the Council's Vigilance of people", examined various Committee, seconding the mo-

of people", examined various definitions of and reactions to "culture" and associated fads before giving eloquent expression and advocacy to his firm belief and philosophy that culture in the true sense should be made available and palatable to the many rather than snobbishly restricted to the view. This led him to an exposition of the new scheme for the National Gallery and the high hopes centred thereupon.

animated An period ensued and Mr. Westbrook was not allowed to depart without virtually promising to make himself available again in the future to one or several Council events.

The letter sent by the General Secretary of the Jewish Council to Combat Fascism and Anti-Semitism (Mr. E. Platz) stated that the Council sought clarification of remarks made by the Attorney-General (Sir Garfield Barwick) in the House of Representatives on March 22 last. It quoted the Minister ("Han-sard," March 22, page 452):

"Honorable members will, no doubt, have in their minds the proceedings now immediately pending in Israel against a man who stands charged, in effect, as a major war criminal. For ourselves, how-ever, in the kind of case which the present demand suggests, and which is distinguishable in many respects from the case in Israel, we think the time has come to close the chapter.

come to close the chapter. It is, truly, the year 1961."
"Whilst we assume that your Government would not place war crimes and participation in the mass murder of Jews in the category of political

erimes, it is felt that s ambiguity exists in the eition as stated by you the House. It is not ear whether the Governt would be opposed to the extradition of a person in circumsta where it was clearly e tablished that such person d been guilty crimes or bumanity, which sion includes, of crimes against civilian "For our part, we do not believe that the crime of murder can be subject to any statute of limitations." The Attorney-General replied to Mr. Platz: "I am in receipt of your letter of May 26th with respect to my statement in the House of Representatives about a request by the Soviet Union for the surrender of

a person who was a natur-